 Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be reli- upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and r ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data. 	Family Name	Hardman
TitleStakeholder SubmissionTypeWebFamily NameHardmanGiven NameJenniferPerson ID1286169TitleJPA 7: Elton Reservoir AreaTypeWebSoundness - Positively prepared?UnsoundSoundness - Legally compliance - Legally to us object at a specific ward to the submitting using the specific ward to the specific ward to the specific ward to the specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floor ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers to relation of provide it??Redacted modification a sprecise as possible. - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant - Please set out the plan legally compliant a double a life adding to the already high toxic levels of pollutionRedacted modification (F) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, i	Given Name	Jennifer
Type Web Family Name Hardman Given Name Jennifer Person ID 1286169 Title JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliance - Legally compliance - Legally compliance - Legally compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to cooperate. Please be us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant. No Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawi. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floot ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the aiready high toxic levels of pollutior ecology. Reda	Person ID	1286169
Family Name Hardman Given Name Jennifer Person ID 1286169 Title JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider that consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to coo-operate. Please as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicing the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floot ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already h	Title	Stakeholder Submission
Given Name Jennifer Person ID 1286169 Title JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to compliant? No Che server as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. as precise as possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flooc ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be movi	Туре	Web
Person ID 1286169 Title JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Sonsistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be reliven to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of	Family Name	Hardman
Title JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floot ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution ecology. Please set out the modiffication(s) you consider necessary to make thi	Given Name	Jennifer
Type Web Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant; No Cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution a a unother and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution a a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 d	Person ID	1286169
Soundness - Positively prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it Chave penerated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollutior Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
prepared? Unsound Soundness - Justified? Unsound Soundness - Consistent with national policy? Unsound Soundness - Effective? Unsound Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to complive the thety to cooperate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it Chave generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the parcross all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be reliven to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollutior Please set out the modification - Please set out the modification (s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of an usessors required to examine sites for flood risks and recology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is b	Туре	Web
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?UnsoundSoundness - Effective?UnsoundCompliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant;NoOther sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl.Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl.There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floor ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.	-	Unsound
with national policy? Soundness - Effective? Compliance - Legally compliant? No Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? No Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it C have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood eccology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relive upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and r ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.	Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?NoCompliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it G have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl.There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residem therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and r ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole.Redacted modification of any legal compliant of any legal complianceNo		Unsound
compliant?Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?NoRedacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it G have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl.There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and r ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole.Redacted modification plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal complianceAn alternative forups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole.Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.	Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it G have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relive upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and r ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.		No
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have. The decision to allocate this land for development was initially made i informal meetings without minutes and without public consultation. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl.There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the p across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be rel upon to provide it??Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal complianceAn alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.	accordance with the	No
 consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the places as a possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the places as a possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the places as possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the places as possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the places as possible. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floor ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relupon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution sprawing alternative sites. Please set out the modification, - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance 	Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be	Poor public consultation. Protests groups such as Bury Folk Keep it Green have generated more interest in these plans than the Council have.
 is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Other sites within Bury would have been suitable for more affordable ho to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add urban sprawl. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the pacross all areas information was given by specific ward to the residen therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relupon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one cong area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution. An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance 		5
 as precise as possible. There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the pacross all areas information was given by specific ward to the resident therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding floor ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this. Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relupon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one congarea to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution. Please set out the modification (s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance 		to suit the general population. Building on this greenbelt will only add to
 upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one congarea to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution Redacted modification Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance Upon to provide it?? The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one congarea to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution 		There has been deliberate misinformation: instead of publicising the plan across all areas information was given by specific ward to the residents therein. There is a failure to use independent assessors regarding flood risk; ecology etcinstead of allowing developers to undertake this.
 area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution Redacted modification Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites. Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and recology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data. 		Where is the funding for the infrastructure? Can the developers be relied upon to provide it??
 Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and recology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data. 		The proposed link road would just be moving extra traffic from one congested area to another and adding to the already high toxic levels of pollution.
modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respectindependent assessors required to examine sites for hood fisks and t ecology. Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole. Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.	- Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	An alternative local plan is necessary naming alternative sites.
make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respectLiaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the as a whole.Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.		Independent assessors required to examine sites for flood risks and risk to ecology.
and sound, in respect Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data. of any legal compliance		Liaison with Protest Groups and others regarding what is best for the town as a whole.
you have identified		Using up to date figures from the ONS instead of 2014 data.